

Tulane Journal of Technology and Intellectual Property

Index
Volumes 1-7
1999-2005

TULANE JOURNAL OF TECHNOLOGY
AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

VOLUMES 1-7

1999-2005

CONTENTS

CONTRIBUTIONS—AUTHORS..... v
CONTRIBUTIONS—TITLESix
INDEX DIGEST xiii
TABLE OF CASES DISCUSSED.....xvii

CONTRIBUTIONS—AUTHORS

AFGHANI, KEVIN, <i>Harrods Ltd. v. Sixty Internet Domain Names: A Nonexpansive Expansion of the Anticybersquatting Consumer Protections Act?</i> (Note).....	5:141-52
ALBRITTON, MELVIN, <i>Swatting Spiders: An Analysis of Spider Activity on the Internet</i>	3:137-54
BANCROFT, ROYCE E., <i>The First Application of the Anticybersquatting Consumer Protection Act: Sporty's Farm, L.L.C. v. Sportsman's Market, Inc.</i> (Note).....	3:175-86
BANGLE, PHILIP, <i>The Bridgeman Art Library, Ltd. v. Corel Corp.</i> (Note).....	2(4):1-9
BARTOLONE, MICHAEL, <i>Festo Corp. v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Co.: The Federal Circuit Further Clarifies (or Perhaps Further Confuses) the Application of Prosecution History Estoppel</i> (Note).....	6:273-82
BAUCHNER, JOSHUA S., <i>Globalization and Democratization: The Reclaiming of Copyright</i>	4:93-116
BIRNBAUM, MARC J., <i>Low-Power FM: The Federal Communications Commission's Conflicting Roles of Policing the Spectrum and Ensuring Community Access to the Airwaves</i> (Comment).....	4:143-60
CIMINO, CYNTHIA M., <i>Fair Use in the Digital Age: Are We Playing Fair?</i> (Comment).....	4:203-22
CORREA, CARLOS M., <i>Public Health and Patent Legislation in Developing Countries</i>	3:1-54
DEAN, SUSAN W., <i>Government Surveillance of the Internet Communications: Pen Register and Trap and Trace Law Under the Patriotic Act</i> (Comment).....	5:97-114
DENARO, JAMES, <i>Choice of Law Problems Posed by the Internet and by Satellite Broadcasting</i>	1(3):1-20
DONAHOE, ROBERT V., <i>Beyond.Com: What Risk Does the Explosive Growth of Top Level Domains Pose to Your Trademark: Can You Get Any Relief?</i>	4:59-92
ERIKSEN, CHRISTIAN R., <i>Cable Broadband: Did the Ninth Circuit Beat the FCC to the Punch in Last Mile Regulation?</i> (Note).....	6:283-94
FARID, NADINE, <i>Not in My Library: Eldred v. Ashcroft and the Demise of the Public Domain</i>	5:1-30
FARNESE, PATRICIA L., <i>Patently Unreasonable: Reconsidering the Responsibility of Patentees in Today's Inventive Climate</i>	6:1-32
GALOFARO, RICHARD, <i>Is the Tasini Decision in Need of a "Revision"?: New York Times Co. v. Tasini</i> (Note).....	4:233-44
GIVELBER, DANIEL, <i>Pure Smoke: Products Liability, Innovation, and the Search for the Safe Cigarette</i>	7:1-49
GRUBER, ALAN, <i>Interpretation of "Revision" Under the Copyright Act Spells Trouble for Publishers: Greenberg v. National Geographic Society</i> (Note).....	4:223-32
HACKING, JOHN F., <i>Trademark Dilution: Setting the Dilution Standard Under the Federal Trademark Dilution Act</i> (Comment).....	5:115-30
HANAMAN, DANA L., <i>The Domain Name System and Trademark Law, Globally Speaking: Arbitration for Increasing Internet Competition</i> (Comment).....	2(2):1-34

HAROLDSON, PETER D., <i>Catalina Lighting, Inc. v. Lamps Plus, Inc.: Shedding Some Light on Recovery When a Design Patent and Utility Patent Are Infringed by a Single Act</i> (Note).....	5:153-62
HUTCHINSON, GEORGE W., <i>Can the Federal Courts Save Rock Music?: Why a Default Joint Authorship Rule Should Be Adopted to Protect Co-Authors Under United States Copyright Law</i>	5:77-96
IRIZARRY, ARMANDO, <i>Harmonizing Prosecution History Estoppel and the Doctrine of Equivalents in Patent Infringement Actions</i>	5:31-60
JACKSON, TRACI S., <i>How Far Is Too Far? The Extension of the Right of Publicity to a Form of Intellectual Property Comparable to Trademark/Copyright</i> (Comment)	6:181-98
JENKINS, STEPHEN ROY, <i>Valley Drug Co. v. Geneva Pharmaceuticals, Inc.: Enlarging the Patent Exclusionary Right Through Hatch-Waxman Settlement Agreements Is Not Per Se Anticompetitive?</i> (Note)	6:295-306
JONES, WAYNE ALLEN, <i>Intel v. Intergraph, "I May Throw My Weight Around, But I'm No Monopolist": The Federal Circuit Rejects Intergraph Corp.'s Arguments that Intel Has Illegally Leveraged Its Market Power to Gain Access to Patent Licenses</i> (Note)	2(3):1-17
KATYAL, NAVIN, <i>The Unauthorized Dissemination of Celebrity Images on the Internet . . . in the Flesh</i>	2(1):1-27
KEYHANI, DARIUSH, <i>U.S. Patent Law and Extraterritorial Reach</i>	7:51-69
KOTZUN, SHANDRA J., <i>The Digital Millennium Copyright Act: Anticircumvention Ban Gives More Rights to Copyright Owners</i> (Comment)	3:117-36
KUNDAWALA, ISHAQ, <i>Rodrigue v. Rodrigue: The Fifth Circuit Aligns with Worth—Accepting Copyright as Community Property</i> (Note)	3:165-74
LACEY, KATHLEEN A., GEORGE CRUTHFIELD, BARBARA & GEORGE, RAJAN, <i>International Telecommunications Mergers: U.S. National Security Threats Inherent in Foreign Government Ownership of Controlling Interests</i>	4:29-58
LACHMAN, ANDREW, <i>Providing a Secure Environment for Global Electronic Commerce: OECD Setting the Standards</i>	1(2):1-20
LEE, ERIC, <i>Titan Sports, Inc. v. Hellwig: Wrestling with the Distinction Between Character and Performer</i> (Note).....	3:155-64
LUNNEY, GLYNN, <i>Protecting Digital Works: Copyright or Contract?</i>	1(1):1-30
MACMILLAN, FIONA & BLACKNEY, MICHAEL, <i>Genetically Modified Organisms and the World Trade Organizations</i>	3:93-116
MADAY, JEFF J., <i>In re Bigio: Brushing Your Hair with a Toothbrush? The Interplay Between the Broadest Reasonable Interpretation Rule and the Analogous Art Doctrine</i> (Note)	7:313-25
MARAVILLA, CHRISTOPHER SCOTT, <i>The Feasibility of a Law to Regulate Pornographic, Unsolicited, Commercial E-Mail</i>	4:117-42
MOORCROFT, KARA, <i>Scofflaw Science: Avoiding the Anticommons Through Ignorance</i>	7:71-93
MOTA, SUE ANN, <i>Protecting Minors from Sexually Explicit Materials on the Net: COPA Likely Violates the First Amendment According to the Supreme Court</i>	7:95-111
MULLENBACH, ERIKA, <i>The Influence of Disease on the Evolution of U.S. Patent Law and Policy Towards Foreign Patent Laws in the Late Twentieth to Early Twenty-First Century</i> (Comment).....	7:227-48
NORTMAN, GREGORY SCOTT, <i>Indirect Liability of ISPs for Peer-to-Peer Copyright Infringement After the Verizon Decision</i> (Comment).....	7:249-66

ORIOLA, TAIWO A., <i>Regulating Unsolicited Commercial Electronic Mail in the United States and the European Union: Challenges and Prospects</i>	7:113-66
PITTS, PAUL, <i>Eli Lilly v. Barr: Double Patenting Analysis Can Be Anything But Obvious</i> (Note)	4:253-62
PONTE, LUCILLE M., <i>Throwing Bad Money After Bad: Can Online Dispute Resolution (ODR) Really Deliver the Goods for the Unhappy Internet Shopper?</i>	3:55-92
REYNOLDS, JR., STUART M., <i>The Relationship of Antitrust Laws to Regulated Industries and Intellectual Property in the New Marketplace</i>	4:1-28
ROWE, ELIZABETH A., <i>When Trade Secrets Become Shackles: Fairness and the Inevitable Disclosure Doctrine</i>	7:167-226
RUSSELL, DANIEL J., <i>Veeck v. Southern Building Code Congress International, Inc.: Invalidating the Copyright of Model Codes upon Their Enactment into Law</i> (Note)	5:131-40
SAFRO, BELLA I. & KEATY, THOMAS S., <i>What's in a Name? Protection of Well-Known Trademarks Under International and National Law</i>	6:33-62
SHULTZ, BROOKE, <i>Sound Recordings: "Get a License or Do Not Sample"</i> (Note).....	7:327-36
SIDDIQI, IMTIAZ, <i>Dial One of the Mid-South, Inc. v. BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.: The Fifth Circuit Rejects Incorporation of Actual Malice into the Lanham Act</i> (Note).....	4:245-52
SOLOWEY, RIKKI, <i>A Question of Equivalence: Expanding the Definition of Child Pornography to Encompass "Virtual" Computer-Generated Images</i> (Comment).....	4:161-202
SON, SEUNGWOO, <i>Can Black Dot (Shrinkwrap) Licenses Override Federal Reverse Engineering Rights?: The Relationship Between Copyright, Contract, and Antitrust Laws</i>	6:63-146
STECKLEY, CRAIG, <i>MGM v. Grokster: A Disincentive for Technological Responsibility</i> (Note)	7:299-312
STEVENS, ALICE, <i>Commercial Disparagement Under Section 43(a)(1)(B) of the Lanham Act</i> (Comment)	7:267-80
STILLWELL, WALTER, <i>Carafano v. Metroplash.com: An Expansion of Tort Immunity for Web Service Providers Under 47 U.S.C. § 230, Even When They Take a Greater Editorial Role in Publishing Material from Third Parties</i> (Note).....	6:307-18
THRASH, J. JARROD, <i>Victoria's Secret Is Not Safe with the Supreme Court: The Court Makes Its Foray into the Make-Believe World of the FTDA</i> (Comment).....	6:199-222
TIMKOVICH, ELIZABETH TROUP, <i>The New Significance of the Four Fair Use Factors as Applied to Parody: Interpreting the Court's Analysis in Campbell v. Acuff-Rose Music, Inc.</i>	5:61-76
VERCOSKI, MICHELE M., <i>Ty v. Perryman: The Seventh Circuit Disarms Trademark Owner of Power to Enjoin Under Dilution Theory for Threat of "Beanies" Mark Becoming Generic</i> (Note).....	5:163-72
VICKERS, ADAM V., <i>Willful Infringement: Enhanced Privilege and Obscure Remedies</i> (Note).....	7:337-45
VINEYARD, PHILIP, <i>"No One Expects the Spanish Inquisition"—Twice: Subduing the Moral Rights Monster</i> (Comment).....	6:223-46
WEPNER, ROY H. & ELLIS, RICHARD W., <i>The Federal Circuit's Presumptively Erroneous Presumption of Irreparable Harm</i>	6:147-70
WHITE, JEFF, <i>From Balance to Property: The Dangers of Copywrongs</i> (Comment).....	6:247-72

YANNONE, JENNIFER, <i>The Future of Unauthorized Pop-Up Advertisements Remains Uncertain as Courts Reach Conflicting Outcomes</i> (Comment)	7:281-97
ZINSER, ALEXANDER, <i>European Data Protection Directive: The Determination of the Adequacy Requirement in International Data Transfers</i>	6:171-80

CONTRIBUTIONS—TITLES

A QUESTION OF EQUIVALENCE: EXPANDING THE DEFINITION OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY TO ENCOMPASS “VIRTUAL” COMPUTER-GENERATED IMAGES <i>Rikki Solowey</i> (Comment).....	4:161-202
BEYOND.COM: WHAT RISK DOES THE EXPLOSIVE GROWTH OF TOP LEVEL DOMAINS POSE TO YOUR TRADEMARK: CAN YOU GET ANY RELIEF? <i>Robert V. Donahoe</i>	4:59-92
CABLE BROADBAND: DID THE NINTH CIRCUIT BEAT THE FCC TO THE PUNCH IN LAST MILE REGULATION? <i>Christian R. Eriksen</i> (Note).....	6:283-94
CAN BLACK DOT (SHRINKWRAP) LICENSES OVERRIDE FEDERAL REVERSE ENGINEERING RIGHTS?: THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN COPYRIGHT, CONTRACT, AND ANTITRUST LAWS <i>Seungwoo Son</i>	6:63-146
CAN THE FEDERAL COURTS SAVE ROCK MUSIC?: WHY A DEFAULT JOINT AUTHORSHIP RULE SHOULD BE ADOPTED TO PROTECT CO-AUTHORS UNDER UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT LAW <i>George W. Hutchinson</i>	5:77-96
CARAFANO V. METROSPASH.COM: AN EXPANSION OF TORT IMMUNITY FOR WEB SERVICE PROVIDERS UNDER 47 U.S.C. § 230, EVEN WHEN THEY TAKE A GREATER EDITORIAL ROLE IN PUBLISHING MATERIAL FROM THIRD PARTIES <i>Walter Stillwell</i> (Note).....	6:307-18
CATALINA LIGHTING, INC. V. LAMPS PLUS, INC.: SHEDDING SOME LIGHT ON RECOVERY WHEN A DESIGN PATENT AND UTILITY PATENT ARE INFRINGEMENT BY A SINGLE ACT <i>Peter D. Haroldson</i> (Note)	5:153-62
CHOICE OF LAW PROBLEMS POSED BY THE INTERNET AND BY SATELLITE BROADCASTING <i>James Denaro</i>	1(3):1-20
COMMERCIAL DISPARAGEMENT UNDER SECTION 43(A)(1)(B) OF THE LANHAM ACT <i>Alice Stevens</i> (Comment)	7:267-80
DIAL ONE OF THE MID-SOUTH, INC. V. BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.: THE FIFTH CIRCUIT REJECTS INCORPORATION OF ACTUAL MALICE INTO THE LANHAM ACT <i>Imtiaz Siddiqui</i> (Note)	4:245-52
ELI LILLY V. BARR: DOUBLE PATENTING ANALYSIS CAN BE ANYTHING BUT OBVIOUS <i>Paul Pitts</i> (Note).....	4:253-62
EUROPEAN DATA PROTECTION DIRECTIVE: THE DETERMINATION OF THE ADEQUACY REQUIREMENT IN INTERNATIONAL DATA TRANSFERS <i>Alexander Zinser</i>	6:171-80
FAIR USE IN THE DIGITAL AGE: ARE WE PLAYING FAIR? <i>Cynthia M. Cimino</i> (Comment).....	4:203-22
FESTO CORP. V. SHOKETSU KINZOKU KOGYO KABUSHIKI CO.: THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT FURTHER CLARIFIES (OR PERHAPS FURTHER CONFUSES) THE APPLICATION OF PROSECUTION HISTORY ESTOPPEL <i>Michael Bartolone</i> (Note)	6:273-82

FROM BALANCE TO PROPERTY: THE DANGERS OF COPYWRONGS <i>Jeff White</i> (Comment)	6:247-72
GENETICALLY MODIFIED ORGANISMS AND THE WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATIONS <i>Fiona Macmillan & Michael Blackney</i>	3:93-116
GLOBALIZATION AND DEMOCRATIZATION: THE RECLAIMING OF COPYRIGHT <i>Joshua S. Bauchner</i>	4:93-116
GOVERNMENT SURVEILLANCE OF THE INTERNET COMMUNICATIONS: PEN REGISTER AND TRAP AND TRACE LAW UNDER THE PATRIOTIC ACT <i>Susan W. Dean</i> (Comment).....	5:97-114
HARMONIZING PROSECUTION HISTORY ESTOPPEL AND THE DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS IN PATENT INFRINGEMENT ACTIONS <i>Armando Irizarry</i>	5:31-60
<i>HARRODS LTD. V. SIXTY INTERNET DOMAIN NAMES</i> : A NONEXPANSIVE EXPANSION OF THE ANTICYBERSQUATTING CONSUMER PROTECTIONS ACT? <i>Kevin Afghani</i> (Note)	5:141-52
HOW FAR IS TOO FAR? THE EXTENSION OF THE RIGHT OF PUBLICITY TO A FORM OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COMPARABLE TO TRADEMARK/COPYRIGHT <i>Traci S. Jackson</i> (Comment).....	6:181-98
<i>IN RE BIGIO</i> : BRUSHING YOUR HAIR WITH A TOOTHBRUSH? THE INTERPLAY BETWEEN THE BROADEST REASONABLE INTERPRETATION RULE AND THE ANALOGOUS ART DOCTRINE <i>Jeff J. Maday</i> (Note).....	7:313-25
INDIRECT LIABILITY OF ISPs FOR PEER-TO-PEER COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT AFTER THE <i>VERIZON</i> DECISION <i>Gregory Scott Nortman</i> (Comment).....	7:249-66
<i>INTEL V. INTERGRAPH</i> , “I MAY THROW MY WEIGHT AROUND, BUT I’M NO MONOPOLIST”: THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT REJECTS INTERGRAPH CORP.’S ARGUMENTS THAT INTEL HAS ILLEGALLY LEVERAGED ITS MARKET POWER TO GAIN ACCESS TO PATENT LICENSES <i>Wayne Allen Jones</i> (Note).....	2(3):1-17
INTERNATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS MERGERS: U.S. NATIONAL SECURITY THREATS INHERENT IN FOREIGN GOVERNMENT OWNERSHIP OF CONTROLLING INTERESTS <i>Kathleen A. Lacey, George Cruthfield, Barbara & George Rajan</i>	4:29-58
INTERPRETATION OF “REVISION” UNDER THE COPYRIGHT ACT SPELLS TROUBLE FOR PUBLISHERS: <i>GREENBERG V. NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC SOCIETY</i> <i>Alan Gruber</i> (Note)	4:223-32
IS THE <i>TASINI</i> DECISION IN NEED OF A “REVISION”? : <i>NEW YORK TIMES CO. V.</i> <i>TASINI</i> <i>Richard Galofaro</i> (Note)	4:233-44
LOW-POWER FM: THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION’S CONFLICTING ROLES OF POLICING THE SPECTRUM AND ENSURING COMMUNITY ACCESS TO THE AIRWAVES <i>Marc J. Birnbaum</i> (Comment)	4:143-60
<i>MGM V. GROKSTER</i> : A DISINCENTIVE FOR TECHNOLOGICAL RESPONSIBILITY <i>Craig Steckley</i> (Note).....	7:299-312
“NO ONE EXPECTS THE SPANISH INQUISITION”—TWICE: SUBDUING THE MORAL RIGHTS MONSTER <i>Philip Vineyard</i> (Comment).....	6:223-46
NOT IN MY LIBRARY: <i>ELDRED V. ASHCROFT</i> AND THE DEMISE OF THE PUBLIC DOMAIN <i>Nadine Farid</i>	5:1-30

PATENTLY UNREASONABLE: RECONSIDERING THE RESPONSIBILITY OF PATENTEES IN TODAY'S INVENTIVE CLIMATE <i>Patricia L. Farnese</i>	6:1-32
PROTECTING DIGITAL WORKS: COPYRIGHT OR CONTRACT? <i>Glynn Lunney</i>	1(1):1-30
PROTECTING MINORS FROM SEXUALLY EXPLICIT MATERIALS ON THE NET: COPA LIKELY VIOLATES THE FIRST AMENDMENT ACCORDING TO THE SUPREME COURT <i>Sue Ann Mota</i>	7:95-111
PROVIDING A SECURE ENVIRONMENT FOR GLOBAL ELECTRONIC COMMERCE: OECD SETTING THE STANDARDS <i>Andrew Lachman</i>	1(2):1-20
PUBLIC HEALTH AND PATENT LEGISLATION IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES <i>Carlos M. Correa</i>	3:1-54
PURE SMOKE: PRODUCTS LIABILITY, INNOVATION, AND THE SEARCH FOR THE SAFE CIGARETTE <i>Daniel Givelber</i>	7:1-49
REGULATING UNSOLICITED COMMERCIAL ELECTRONIC MAIL IN THE UNITED STATES AND THE EUROPEAN UNION: CHALLENGES AND PROSPECTS <i>Taiwo A. Oriola</i>	7:113-66
<i>RODRIGUE V. RODRIGUE</i> : THE FIFTH CIRCUIT ALIGNS WITH WORTH— ACCEPTING COPYRIGHT AS COMMUNITY PROPERTY <i>Ishaq Kundawala</i> (Note).....	3:165-74
SCOFFLAW SCIENCE: AVOIDING THE ANTICOMMONS THROUGH IGNORANCE <i>Kara Moorcroft</i>	7:71-93
SOUND RECORDINGS: "GET A LICENSE OR DO NOT SAMPLE" <i>Brooke Shultz</i> (Note).....	7:327-36
SWATTING SPIDERS: AN ANALYSIS OF SPIDER ACTIVITY ON THE INTERNET <i>Melvin Albritton</i>	3:137-54
<i>THE BRIDGEMAN ART LIBRARY, LTD. V. COREL CORP.</i> <i>Philip Bangle</i> (Note).....	2(4):1-9
THE DIGITAL MILLENNIUM COPYRIGHT ACT: ANTICIRCUMVENTION BAN GIVES MORE RIGHTS TO COPYRIGHT OWNERS <i>Shandra J. Kotzun</i> (Comment).....	3:117-36
THE DOMAIN NAME SYSTEM AND TRADEMARK LAW, GLOBALLY SPEAKING: ARBITRATION FOR INCREASING INTERNET COMPETITION <i>Dana L. Hanaman</i> (Comment).....	2(2):1-34
THE FEASIBILITY OF A LAW TO REGULATE PORNOGRAPHIC, UNSOLICITED, COMMERCIAL E-MAIL <i>Christopher Scott Maravilla</i>	4:117-42
THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT'S PRESUMPTIVELY ERRONEOUS PRESUMPTION OF IRREPARABLE HARM <i>Roy H. Wepner & Richard W. Ellis</i>	6:147-70
THE FIRST APPLICATION OF THE ANTICYBERSQUATTING CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT: <i>SPORTY'S FARM, L.L.C. V. SPORTSMAN'S MARKET, INC.</i> <i>Royce E. Bancroft</i> (Note).....	3:175-86
THE FUTURE OF UNAUTHORIZED POP-UP ADVERTISEMENTS REMAINS UNCERTAIN AS COURTS REACH CONFLICTING OUTCOMES <i>Jennifer Yannone</i> (Comment).....	7:281-97
THE INFLUENCE OF DISEASE ON THE EVOLUTION OF U.S. PATENT LAW AND POLICY TOWARDS FOREIGN PATENT LAWS IN THE LATE TWENTIETH TO EARLY TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY <i>Erika Mullenbach</i> (Comment).....	7:227-48

THE NEW SIGNIFICANCE OF THE FOUR FAIR USE FACTORS AS APPLIED TO PARODY: INTERPRETING THE COURT'S ANALYSIS IN <i>CAMPBELL V. ACUFF-ROSE MUSIC, INC.</i> <i>Elizabeth Troup Timkovich</i>	5:61-76
THE RELATIONSHIP OF ANTITRUST LAWS TO REGULATED INDUSTRIES AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IN THE NEW MARKETPLACE <i>Stuart M. Reynolds, Jr.</i>	4:1-28
THE UNAUTHORIZED DISSEMINATION OF CELEBRITY IMAGES ON THE INTERNET ... IN THE FLESH <i>Navin Katyal</i>	2(1):1-27
THROWING BAD MONEY AFTER BAD: CAN ONLINE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ODR) REALLY DELIVER THE GOODS FOR THE UNHAPPY INTERNET SHOPPER? <i>Lucille M. Ponte</i>	3:55-92
<i>TITAN SPORTS, INC. v. HELLWIG</i> : WRESTLING WITH THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN CHARACTER AND PERFORMER <i>Eric Lee (Note)</i>	3:155-64
TRADEMARK DILUTION: SETTING THE DILUTION STANDARD UNDER THE FEDERAL TRADEMARK DILUTION ACT <i>John F. Hacking (Comment)</i>	5:115-30
<i>TY V. PERRYMAN</i> : THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT DISARMS TRADEMARK OWNER OF POWER TO ENJOIN UNDER DILUTION THEORY FOR THREAT OF "BEANIES" MARK BECOMING GENERIC <i>Michele M. Vercoski (Note)</i>	5:163-72
U.S. PATENT LAW AND EXTRATERRITORIAL REACH <i>Dariusz Keyhani</i>	7:51-69
<i>VALLEY DRUG CO. v. GENEVA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.</i> : ENLARGING THE PATENT EXCLUSIONARY RIGHT THROUGH HATCH-WAXMAN SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS IS NOT PER SE ANTICOMPETITIVE? <i>Stephen Roy Jenkins (Note)</i>	6:295-306
<i>VEECK v. SOUTHERN BUILDING CODE CONGRESS INTERNATIONAL, INC.</i> : INVALIDATING THE COPYRIGHT OF MODEL CODES UPON THEIR ENACTMENT INTO LAW <i>Daniel J. Russell (Note)</i>	5:131-40
VICTORIA'S SECRET IS NOT SAFE WITH THE SUPREME COURT: THE COURT MAKES ITS FORAY INTO THE MAKE-BELIEVE WORLD OF THE FTDA <i>J. Jarrod Thrash (Comment)</i>	6:199-222
WHAT'S IN A NAME? PROTECTION OF WELL-KNOWN TRADEMARKS UNDER INTERNATIONAL AND NATIONAL LAW <i>Bella I. Safro & Thomas S. Keaty</i>	6:33-62
WHEN TRADE SECRETS BECOME SHACKLES: FAIRNESS AND THE INEVITABLE DISCLOSURE DOCTRINE <i>Elizabeth A. Rowe</i>	7:167-226
WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT: ENHANCED PRIVILEGE AND OBSCURE REMEDIES <i>Adam V. Vickers (Note)</i>	7:337-45

INDEX DIGEST

ANTITRUST LAW

- Claims.....6:105-06
- Power industry regulation.....4:1-28
- Sherman Act2(3):1-17; 6:127-30,
.....132-33, 137-39
- Monopolistic conduct.....6:137-43
- Monopolization.....6:133, 142-43
 - Attempted.....6:142-43
- Network effects.....6:67-68, 98, 137
- Restraint
 - Ancillary6:128-31
 - Naked.....6:128-30
- Restraint standards
 - Per se illegality6:128-30,
.....138-39
 - Rule of Reason6:128-29
- Standards
 - Liability6:128-29

CABLE MODEM SERVICES

- Generally6:283-92
- Classification
 - Information
 - services.....6:286, 288, 290
 - Telecommunication
 - services.....6:285-91
- Last Mile Regulation.....6:285, 287, 292

CHILD PORNOGRAPHY LAW

- Child Pornography
 - Prevention Act
(CPPA).....7:98-100
- Child Internet Protection
Act (CIPA)7:106-10
- Child Online Protection
Act (COPA).....7:95-110

COMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1934

- Generally6:287
- Preemption6:287

**COMMUNICATIONS DECENCY
ACT**

- Generally6:308; 7:95-101
- Information content
provider.....6:309-12, 314-17
- Interactive computer
service6:311-12, 315-16

- Strict liability6:308, 310
- Tort immunity6:308, 311

BIOTECHNOLOGY

- Genetically modified
organisms and liability6:2-3
- Genetically modified
organisms and WTO3:93-116

COPYRIGHT LAW

- Abstraction—filtration
comparison.....6:76-77
- Berne Convention6:227-28
- Collective Works4:223-44
- Community property3:165-74
- Contract law1(1):1-30; 6:265-68
- Copyright Act.....6:74-80,
.....88, 97, 112-17, 125-26,
.....227, 229-30, 235, 240-41,
.....248, 253, 266
- CTEA (Copyright Term
Extension Act).....5:1-30
- DMCA (Digital Millennium
Copyright Act)....3:117-36; 6:65, 99,
.....101-02, 104-05, 253,
.....258-63, 265;
.....7:253-57, 260-64
- Anticircumvention6:65, 99,
.....102-03, 256-60
- Fair use6:253, 257-61, 265-66
- White Paper6:254-56
- Fair-Use Doctrine.....4:203-22; 6:67,
.....78-81, 84, 88-89, 93,
.....96, 104, 113, 117-18, 126, 130
- Idea v. expression
dichotomy6:74-77
- INDUCE Act.....7:262-63
- Infringement6:71, 78-79,
.....5, 88, 101, 104, 107
- Contributory.....7:251-56, 300-12
- Vicarious7:251-55, 300-12
- Intellectual Property
 - Clause6:230-31, 234
- ISPs.....7:253-55
- Joint authorship5:77-96
- Misuse Doctrine6:105-07
- Model Codes5:131-40

Moral rights/ droit moral	6:224, 227, 229,233-35, 242	Abbreviated New Drug Applications (ANDA)	6:295, 298, 303
Lanham Act.....	6:226, 235	Analogous art doctrine	7:313-25
NII Copyright Protection Act.....	6:254, 256	Attorney-Client Privilege	7:337-45
Originality	2(4):1-9	Best mode requirement.....	4:253-62
Parody and Fair Use	5:61-76	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation Rule.....	7:313-25
Protection	6:65, 74-75, 80-81, 84, 98, 102, 105, 108, 116-17, 130, 143	Damages	5:153-62; 6:154-57, 167
Reverse engineering	6:64-74,78, 82, 84-85, 88-89,91-92, 94, 97-105, 108, 110-11, 118, 138, 140, 143-44	Reasonable royalty	6:154-56
Right of publicity	2(1):1-27, 3:155-64	Doctrine of Equivalents.....	6:274-80
Sony Bono Copyright Term Protection Act (CTEA).....	6:248, 250, 252	Doha Declaration	7:242-47
Subpoena provision	7:258-60	Enforcement	7:87-91
Sound recordings.....	7:329-32	Enforcement damages	7:337-45
Sampling.....	7:327-37	Evolution of.....	7:229-32
Transformative use	6:93-94	Exclusion agreement	6:299
Visual Artists Rights Act (VARA).....	6:227-28, 235	Exclusionary right.....	6:300-01
FIRST AMENDMENT LAW		Exporting	7:51-65
Child pornography.....	4:161-202;7:98-103	Hatch Waxman Act.....	6:298, 303
Commercial Speech	7:263-71	Inferences.....	7:337-45
Internet content regulation	7:95-110, 124-25, 47-48	Infringement	7:75-85
Mail regulation.....	7:148-49	Indirect	7:51-54
Right to anonymous speech.....	7:151-55	Willful	7:75-80, 337-45
Unsolicited commercial email regulation.....	4:117-42;7:149-51	Licensing.....	7:75-77
INTERNATIONAL DATA TRANSFER		Negligence.....	6:6-7, 9, 11-13, 19-20, 27, 30-31
European Data Protection Directive	6:171-79	Causation.....	6:17-18
Adequacy requirement	6:174-79	Damage or loss	6:6-8
Remedies.....	6:176	Defenses.....	6:20
Safe harbor principles.....	6:173-77	Duty.....	6:8-10, 15-16
PATENT LAW		Foreseeability.....	6:8, 14, 18-20
Generally	6:167	Proximate cause.....	6:17-20
Evolution of.....	7:229-32	Remoteness.....	6:18
		Restatement (Second) of Torts	6:6-8, 11-15
		Standard of Care.....	6:11-16
		Hand Formula.....	6:13-14
		Patent Act.....	6:4-6, 9
		Presumption of irreparable harm.....	6:148-54, 157, 60-69
		Preliminary injunction	6:148-53, 157-63, 166
		Trademark law.....	6:163-65
		Copyright law	6:151, 163, 165-68
		Prosecution history estoppel ..	6:275-77
		Doctrine of Equivalents	5:31-60; 6:275-80
		Remedies	7:338-40
		Settlement agreements.....	6:299-03
		Sherman Act.....	6:297, 300
		Per se rule.....	6:296-99
		Strict Liability.....	6:20, 22
		Defenses	6:27
		Design defects	6:23-25

- Manufacturing defects.....6:23
- Restatement (Third)
 - of Torts.....6:8, 20-26
 - Warnings6:26
- TRIPs Agreement and
 - Public health.....3:1-54
- PREEMPTION**
 - Generally..... 6:111-12, 115-19, 125-26
 - Extra-Element Test.....6:115-16
- PRODUCTS LIABILITY**
 - Cigarette industry, generally.....7:15-49
 - Impact of liability
 - concerns on7:38-49
 - Marketing strategies7:26-32
 - Safer cigarette development..7:32-37
 - Scientific research.....7:19-26
 - Reasonableness standard7:13-15,
 -23-26
 - Subsequent repair doctrine7:3-7
- RIGHT OF PUBLICITY**
 - Copyright.....6:193-94
 - Copyright Act.....6:189-90
 - Defenses6:187
 - De Minimis use.....6:189-90
 - First Amendment...6:187-89, 193-94
 - First Sale Doctrine6:189, 195
 - Preemption6:190
 - Duration.....6:184
 - Elements.....6:185
 - Commercial use6:186
 - Consent6:186
 - Identity use6:185
 - Reparable injury.....6:186
 - Valid ownership.....6:185
 - False endorsement.....6:183
 - Scope6:184
 - Trademark6:191-93
 - Violations6:185-86
 - Appropriation.....6:183
- SUPREMACY CLAUSE**
 - Generally6:117-19
- TECHNOLOGY**
 - Choice of Law.....1(3):1-20
 - Commerce Clause7:134-40
 - Copyright protection4:93-116
 - FCC roles4:143-60
 - Global e-commerce
 - regulation1(2):1-20; 7:141-46
 - International telecommuni-
 - cations mergers.....4:29-58
 - Internet jurisdictional issues....7:127-30
 - Internet spider activity3:137-54
 - Pop-up advertisements7:280-97
 - Spam
 - Generally7:115-23
 - Antispam measures7:164-65
 - CAN-SPAM Act.....7:115,
 -125-26, 131-34, 140-41,
 -146-47, 151, 155-58
 - Regulation in the European Union
 -7:158-63
 - Regulation in the
 - United States7:123-24
 - State regulation.....7:126, 129-31
 - USA PATRIOT Act5:97-114
- TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996**
 - Generally.....6:286-90
 - Common Carrier
 - Regulation.....6:285, 292
 - Internet open access
 - requirement.....6:287, 291-92
- TRADE SECRET LAW**
 - Economic Espionage Act.....7:201
 - Employer/employee
 - relationship.....7:186-88
 - Inevitable disclosure
 - doctrine.....7:169-71, 182-86
 - Confusion with
 - threatened
 - misappropriation.....7:181-82
 - Injunctive relief
 - Generally7:201-07
 - Preliminary injunction
 - elements.....7:202-07
 - Preliminary injunction
 - hearings.....7:202
 - Temporary restraining
 - order7:201-02
 - Restatement (First) of Torts7:192-93
 - Restatement of Unfair
 - Competition7:198-201
 - Restrictive covenants7:188-91
 - Confidentiality/
 - nondisclosure
 - agreements7:188-90

Noncompetition agreements	7:190-91
Uniform Trade Secrets Act.....	7:193-98

TRADEMARK LAW

ACPA (Anticybersquatting Consumer Protection Act).....	3:175-86, 5:141-52;7:294-96
Commercial Disparagement....	7:267-80
Dilution.....	6:52-55
State statutes	6:216
Types of dilution	
Blurring	6:205, 207, 213
Tarnishment ..	6:205, 213, 220
FTDA (Federal Trademark Dilution Act)	5:115-30, 5:163-72;6: 6:52-55, 199,202-08, 210-22
Actual dilution.....	6:199-201,204, 206-11, 213-21
Likelihood of dilution	6:199-202,204-11, 213-17
Innocent infringer defense	4:245-52
Lanham Act.....	6:39, 52-53;7:296-97
Law on Trademarks and Appellations of Origin.....	6:60
Lithuanian trademark law	6:59
Madrid Protocol.....	6:39-40
Madrid Protocol Implementation Act of 2002 (MPIA)	6:39
Online dispute resolution	3:55-92
Paris Convention	6:35-40,50-51, 57
Schechter article.....	6:202-04
Top level domain names.....	4:59-92
Trademark law of the Russian Federation	6:57-58
TRIPS Agreement	6:36, 49-52
Uniform Dispute Resolution Policy.....	2(2):1-34
Uniform Domain Name Resolution Policy (the UDRP Policy).....	6:43-44
Rules for Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (the UDRP Rules)	6:43
Well-known marks	6:38-42,50-53, 55-60

World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO).....	6:35-36,41-45, 48-49
Dispute resolution	6:43-44
Joint recommendation concerning well-known marks	6:35, 41-42
World Trade Organization (WTO).....	6:36

UNIFORM COMPUTER INFORMATION TRANSACTIONS ACT (UCITA)

Generally.....	6:66, 107-12, 120, 126
Doctrine of Unconscionability	6:120-21
Licenses	
Click wrap licenses	6:65
Shrink wrap licenses	6:65-66,107-08, 111, 121-26

TABLE OF CASES DISCUSSED
(Italics Indicates Recent Development)

1-800 Contacts, Inc. v. WhenU.com, Inc. (S.D.N.Y. 2003).....	7:291-95	Ashcroft v. ACLU (2004).....	7:102-107
3-D Sys. Inc. v. Aarotech Labs. Inc. (Fed. Cir. 1994)	7:67-69	Ashcroft v. Free Speech Coalition (2002)	7:99-107
A & M Records, Inc. v. Napster, Inc. (9th Cir. 2001)	4:218-20	Aspen Skiing Co. v. Aspen Highland Skiing Corp. (1985)	2(3):5
A & M Records, Inc. v. Napster, Inc. (9th Cir. 2002)	7:310-12	Associated Film Distrib. Corp. v. Thornburg (E.D. Pa. 1981).....	6:119
A & M Records, Inc. v. Napster, Inc. (N.D. Cal. 2000).....	4:218-20	AT&T Corp. v. City of Portland (9th Cir. 2000)	6:287-89
A & M Records, Inc. v. Napster, Inc. (9th Cir. 2001).....	7:257-58, 301-06	Atari Games Corp. v. Nintendo of Am. (Fed. Cir. 1990).....	4:254
Aalmuhammed v. Lee (9th Cir. 2000).....	5:88-89	Atari Games Corp. v. Nintendo of Am., Inc. (Fed. Cir. 1992)	6:85-88, 101
Acad. of Motion Picture Arts & Sci. v. Network Solutions, Inc. (C.D. Cal. 1997).....	4:64	Athletic Alternatives, Inc. v. Prince Mfg., Inc. (Fed. Cir. 1996)	5:48
ACLU v. Miller (N.D. Ga. 1997)	7:152-54	Bally Total Fitness Holding Corp. v. Faber (C.D. Cal. 1998)	4:63
Addamax Corp. v. Open Software Found. (D. Mass. 1995).....	4:8	Banks v. Manchester (1888).....	5:132, 135-36, 139
Aetna Cas. & Surety Co. v. Jeppesen & Co. (9th Cir. 1980).....	6:25	Barilla Am., Inc. v. Wright (S.D. Iowa 2002).....	7:177, 221
Akro Corp. v. Luker (Fed. Cir. 1995).....	7:67	Bayer v. Housey Pharms. (Fed. Cir. 2003)	7:61-62
Alcatel U.S.A., Inc. v. DGI Techs., Inc. (5th Cir. 1999).....	6:107	Berkeley Photo, Inc. v. Eastman Kodak Co. (1979).....	2(3):6, 8
Alfred Bell & Co. v. Catalda Fine Arts, Inc. (2d Cir. 1951)	2(4):3	Bernstein v. United States Dep't of State (D.D.C. 1996).....	1(2):5
Allen v. Nat'l Video, Inc. (S.D.N.Y. 1985).....	3:158	Bldg. Officials & Code Admin. v. Code Tech., Inc. (1st Cir. 1980).....	5:133-37
Alva Studios, Inc. v. Winninger (S.D.N.Y. 1959).....	2(4):3	Blount v. Rizzi (1983)	4:137
Am. Libraries Ass'n v. Pataki (S.D.N.Y. 1997).....	7:136-38	Bolger v. Youngs Drug Prods. Corp. (1971).....	4:137
Am. Metro. Enters. of N.Y., Inc. v. Warner Bros. Records, Inc. (2d Cir. 1968).....	6:166-67	Bolger v. Youngs Drug Prods. Corp. (1971).....	7:269-70
Am. Visuals Corp. v. Holland (2d Cir. 1958).....	6:166	Bonito Boats, Inc. v. Thunder Craft Boats, Inc. (1989)	6:119
Amway Corp. v. Procter & Gamble Co. (6th Cir. 2003).....	7:275	Bose Corp. v. Consumers Union of the United States, Inc. (1984)	4:248-49; 7:271-72
Aro Mfg Co. v. Convertible Top Replacement Co. (1964)	5:158	Bowers v. Baystate Techs., Inc. (Fed. Cir. 2003)	6:66, 124-25
Ashcroft v. ACLU (2002).....	7:100-107	<i>Brand X Internet Servs. v. F.C.C.</i> (9th Cir. 2003)	6:284-86, 289-91
		Braun Inv. v. Dynamics Corp. (Fed Cir. 1992)	5:158

- Breard v. City of Alexandria
(1951)..... 4:128
- Bridgeport Music, Inc. v. Dimension Films*
(6th Cir. 2004)..... 7:327-36
- Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc. v. Lockhart
(S.D. Ind. 1998)..... 7:180, 222
- Brooke Group Ltd. v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp.
(1993)..... 2(3):4
- Brookfield Communications, Inc. v. West Coast Entm't Corp.
(2d Cir. 1999)..... 3:178
- Brown v. Kendall, 60 Mass. 292
(1850)..... 6:11
- Brunswick Corp. v. Pueblo Bowl-O-Mat, Inc. (1977)..... 2(3):7
- BTE v. Bonnezeze
(E.D. La. 1999)..... 5:79-80, 90
- Bulter v. Michigan (1957)..... 4:141
- Burham Indus., Inc. v. Tomy Corp. (2d Cir. 1980)..... 2(4):4
- Burrow-Giles Lithographic v. Sarony (1884)..... 5:9
- Byars v. Bluff City News Co.
(6th Cir. 1980)..... 2(3):5
- Cal. Retail Liquor Dealers Ass'n v. Midcal Aluminum, Inc.
(1980)..... 4:15
- Campbell v. Acuff-Rose Music, Inc.
(1994)..... 5:62, 64-75; 6:93-94
- Cantor v. Detroit Edison Co.
(1976)..... 4:13-14, 17
- Carafano v. Metrosplash.com, Inc.*
(9th Cir. 2003)..... 6:307-08, 313-18
- Cardoza v. True
(Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1977)..... 6:10-11
- Carson v. Here's Johnny Portable Toilets (6th Cir. 1983)..... 3:159
- Catalina Lighting, Inc. v. Lamps Plus, Inc.*
(Fed. Cir. 2002)..... 5:153-55, 158-62
- CCC Info. Servs. v. Maclean Hunter Mkt. Reports, Inc.
(2d Cir. 1994)..... 5:134-35, 137
- Cent. Soya Co. v. Rose
(Mich. Ct. App. 1984)..... 6:25
- Century 21 Real Estate Corp. of N. Ill v. R.M. Post, Inc.
(N.D. Ill. 1988)..... 4:247
- Chaplinsky v. State of New Hampshire (1942)..... 4:132, 186-88
- Childress v. Taylor
(2d Cir. 1991)..... 5:83-88, 90-93
- Clayton v. Akiba (1982)..... 4:258
- Clogston v. Am. Acad. of Orthopaedic Surgeons
(W.D. Tex. 1996)..... 5:90
- Cohen v. Herbal Concepts, Inc.
(App. Div. 1984)..... 6:189
- Cohen v. Paramount Pictures Corp. (9th Cir. 1988)..... 4:101
- Comedy III Prods. v. Gary Saderup, Inc. (Cal. 2001).... 6:194-95
- Compco Corp. v. Day-Brite Lighting, Inc. (1964)..... 6:119
- CompuServe Inc. v. Cyber Promotions, Inc. (S.D. Ohio 1997)..... 7:118, 150-51
- Computer Assocs. Int'l, Inc. v. Altai, Inc.
(2d Cir. 1992)..... 4:100; 6:76
- D'Andrea v. Rafla-Demetriou
(E.D.N.Y. 1997)..... 6:190
- Data Gen. Corp. v. Grumman Sys. Support Corp.
(1st Cir. 1994)..... 2(3):7; 6:125
- Dawn v. Sterling Drug, Inc.
(C.D. Cal. 1970)..... 5:165
- Deepsouth Packing Co. v. Laitram Corp. (1972)..... 7:51-53, 57-58
- Del Monte Fresh Produce Co. v. Dole Food Co.
(S.D. Fla. 2001)..... 7:181-82
- Dial One of Mid-South, Inc. v. Bellsouth Telecomm.*
(5th Cir. 2001)..... 4:245-46, 249-52
- Dial One of Mid-South, Inc. v. Bellsouth Telecomm.
(5th Cir. 2001)..... 7:276
- Diamond v. Chakrabarty (1980)..... 6:28
- Donoghue v. Stevenson, 1932 App. Cas. 562 (appeal taken from Scot.)..... 6:8
- DoubleClick, Inc. v. Henderson
(N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1997)..... 7:176-77, 223-24
- Dow Jones & Co. v. Gutnick
(2002) 210 CLR 575..... 7:141-43
- Dun & Bradstreet, Inc. v. Greenmoss Builders, Inc.
(1985)..... 4:249, 252

- Dun & Bradstreet, Inc. v. Greenmoss Builders, Inc. (1985)..... 7:272
- Eastman Kodak Co. v. Image Technical Servs. (1992)..... 6:139-40
- Eastwood v. Superior Court (Cal. Ct. App. 1983) 6:186
- EBay v. Bidder's Edge (N.D. Cal. 2000) 3:140-43, 152
- Eden Toys, Inc. v. Florelee Undergarment Co. (2d Cir. 1982)..... 2(4):4-5
- Eichel v. Marcin (S.D.N.Y. 1913)..... 6:75
- Eldred v. Ashcroft (2003)..... 5:14, 18-23, 25; 6:250, 252
- Elec. Inspectors, Inc. v. N.Y. Bd. of Fire Underwriters (E.D.N.Y. 2001)..... 4:15
- Eli Lilly & Co. v. Barr Labs., Inc.* (S.D. Ind. 1999)..... 4:253-54, 257-62
- Eli Lilly & Co. v. Natural Answers, Inc.* (7th Cir. 2000)..... 6:214-15
- Equine Techs., Inc. v. Equitechnology, Inc. (1st Cir. 1995) 3:178
- Erikson v. Trinity Theatre, Inc. (7th Cir. 1994)..... 5:85-88, 90
- Ettore v. Philco Tel. Broad. Corp. (3d Cir. 1956)..... 3:160
- Fantasy, Inc. v. Fogerty (N.D. Cal. 1987) 4:102, 103
- Fed. Communications Comm'n v. Stahlman (D.C. Dist. 1941)..... 4:155
- Feist Publ'n, Inc. v. Rural Tel. Serv. Co. (1991)..... 6:124
- Ferguson v. Friendfinders, Inc. (Cal. Ct. App. 2002) 7:135-36
- Festo Corp. v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Co. (2002)..... 6:273-78, 281
- Festo Corp. v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Co. (D. Mass. Apr. 27, 1993) 6:273
- Festo Corp. v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Co. (Fed. Cir. 1999) 2(1):4, 10; 6:274
- Festo Corp. v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Co. (Fed. Cir. 1995)..... 6:274
- Festo Corp. v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Co. (Fed. Cir. 2000) (en banc) 5:32-33, 40-41, 52-56, 59-60; 6:274-75
- Festo Corp. v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Co.* (Fed. Cir. 2003) 6:273, 276-81
- Fisher v. Klein (S.D.N.Y. 1990) 5:84
- Fonovisa, Inc. v. Cherry Auction, Inc. (9th Cir. 2004)..... 7:301-05
- Free Speech Coalition v. Reno (9th Cir. 1999) 4:178-80, 183
- Gambro Lundia AB v. Baxter Healthcare Corp. (Fed. Cir. 1997) 5:156-58
- Gen. Am. Transp. Corp. v. Cryo-Trans, Inc. (Fed. Cir. 1996) 5:45-46
- Gen. Motors Corp. v. Devex Corp. (1983)..... 6:155-56
- Gentry v. eBay, Inc. (Cal. Ct. App. 2002)..... 6:312-13
- Gilliam v. Am. Broad. Cos. (2d Cir. 1976) 6:226-27, 235-37
- Ginsberg v. New York (1968)..... 4:141
- Goldstein v. California (1973)..... 3:160
- Gordon & Breach Sci. Publishers S.A. v. Am. Inst. of Physics (S.D.N.Y. 1994) 7:270-71
- Gould Estate v. Stoddart Publ'g Co. [1988] (Ont. C.A.)..... 2(1):9
- Grant v. Raymond (1832) 6:5
- Graver Tank & Mfg. Co. v. Linde Air Prods. Co. (1950)..... 5:37-38
- Green v. Am. Tobacco Co. (Fla. 1963)..... 7:41-42
- Greenberg v. Nat'l Geographic Soc'y* (11th Cir. 2001) 4:223-29
- Guccio Gucci S.p.A. v. Mark O'Flynn (WIPO Admin. Panel May 29, 2001) 6:47-48
- Gutnick v. Dow Jones & Co. [2001] VSC 305, 2001 WL 966287 (V.S.C. Aug. 28, 2001)..... 7:141-43
- Habro, Inc. v. Clue Computing, Inc. (D. Mass. 1999)..... 2(2):10
- Haelen Labs., Inc. v. Topps Chewing Gum, Inc. (2d Cir. 1953) 6:182-83

- Harper & Row Publishers, Inc. v. Nation Enters. (1985)..... 5:70-71, 73-75; 6:95
- Harrison v. PPG Indus., Inc. (1980)..... 4:241
- Harrods Ltd. v. Sixty Internet Domain Names* (4th Cir. 2002).....5:141-42, 146-52
- Hasbro, Inc. v. Internet Entm't Group Ltd. (W.D. Wash. 1996)4:63
- Hisquierdo v. Hisquierdo (1979).....3:168, 173
- Hoffman v. Capital Cities/ABC, Inc. (9th Cir. 2001) 6:188
- Honeywell, Inc. v. Metz Apparatewerke (7th Cir. 1975).....7:54
- Hughes Aircraft Co. v. Nat'l Semiconductor Corp. (N.D. Cal. 1994) 7:63-65
- Hughes Aircraft Co. v. United States (Fed. Cir. 1983)..... 5:40-41
- Ibanez v. Fla. Dep't of Bus. & Prof'l Regulations (1994)..... 4:248
- Image Tech. Servs., Inc. v. Eastman Kodak Co. (9th Cir. 1997).....4:25-26
- In re Aimster Copyright Litig.* (7th Cir. 2003)..... 7:304-05
- In re Bigio* (Fed. Cir. 2004) 7:313-25
- In re Cardizem CD Antitrust Litig.* (6th Cir. 2003)..... 6:302
- In re Ciprofloxacin Hydrochloride Antitrust Litig.* (E.D.N.Y. 2003)..... 6:300
- In re Clay* (Fed. Cir. 1992)..... 7:316-17
- In re Deuel* (Fed. Cir. 1995).....3:13
- In re Goodman* (Fed Cir. 1993)..... 4:256
- In re GPAC* (Fed. Cir. 1995) 7:17-18
- In re Hyatt* (Fed. Cir. 2000)7:18
- In re Indep. Serv. Org. Antitrust Litig.* (Fed. Cir. 2000).....4:24-25
- In re Polemis & Furness, Withy & Co.*, [1921] 3 K.B. 560 (K.B. 1921).....6:19
- In re Starlink Corn Prods. Liab. Litig.* (N.D. Ill. 2002).....6:2
- In re Tamoxifen Citrate Antitrust Litig.* (E.D.N.Y. 2003) 6:299
- In re Wolfe* (C.C.P.A. 1958)..... 7:191
- In re Wood* (C.C.P.A. 1979).... 7:315-16
- Insituform Techs., Inc. v. Cat Contracting, Inc. (Fed. Cir. 1996) 5:45-46
- Interactive Pictures Corp. v. Infinite Pictures, Inc. (Fed. Cir. 2001) 4:22
- Intergraph Corp v. Intel Corp.* (Fed. Cir. 1999) 2(3):1-2, 7-9
- Intergraph Corp v. Intel Corp. (N.D. Ala. 2000)..... 2(3):1-2, 6, 9
- Jefferson Parish Hosp. Dist. No. 2 v. Hyde (1984).....6:138
- Johns Hopkins Univ. v. Cellpro, Inc. (Fed Cir. 1998)..... 7:341
- Kinzenbaw v. Deere & Co. (Fed. Cir. 1984) 5:41, 56-58
- Kloster Speedsteel AB v. Crucible, Inc. (Fed. Cir. 1986) 7:339-40
- Knorr-Bremse Systeme Fuer Nutzfahrzeuge GmbH v. Dana Corp.* (Fed. Cir. 2004)..... 7:337-45
- Krouse v. Chrysler Can. Ltd. [1973] (Ont. C.A.)..... 2(1):9
- L. Batlin & Sons, Inc. v. Snyder (2d Cir. 1976)2(4):3-6
- Lamont, DBA Basic Pamphlets v. Postmaster Gen. (1965) 4:136
- Lasercomb Am., Inc. v. Reynolds (4th Cir. 1990) 6:106
- Lauritzen v. Larsen (1953) ... 1(2):10-11
- Lawler Mfg. Co. v. Bradley Corp. (S.D. Ind. 2000)..... 7:179-80, 221-22
- Lewin v. McCreight (E.D. Mich. 1987) 6:25
- Ligue Contre le Racisme et L'Antisémitisme v. Yahoo! Inc. T.G.I. Paris, Nov. 20, 2000 7:143-46
- Litton Sys., Inc. v. Honeywell, Inc. (Fed. Cir. 1996)..... 5:48
- Lugosi v. Universal Pictures (Cal. 1979) 3:158-62
- Maljack Prods., Inc. v. UAV Corp. (C.D. Cal. 1997)..... 4:98
- Mandeville Island Farms, Inc. v. Am. Crystal Sugar Co. (1948) 4:7
- Marietta Corp. v. Fairhurst (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 2002) 7:178, 223
- Maxwell v. J. Baker, Inc. (Fed. Cir. 1996) 5:46-47

- McClurg v. Kingsland
(1843).....5:20-21
- McFarland v. Miller
(3d Cir. 1994)..... 3;158, 161-62
- MediaOne Group, Inc. v. County
of Henrico (4th Cir. 2001)..... 6:287
- Memoirs v. Massachusetts
(1966)..... 4:133
- Merck & Co. v. Lyon
(M.D.N.C. 1995).....7:176, 223
- Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios,
Inc. v. Grokster, Ltd.*
(9th Cir. 2004).....7:299-312
- Metzke v. May Dep't Store
(W.D. Pa. 1995)..... 1(3):5, 13
- Milano v. Machinenet (C.D. Cal.
filed Apr. 27, 1998)2(1):22
- Miller v. California
(1973).....4:133-35, 169-70,
..... 191, 194
- Modine Mfg. Co. v. United States
Int'l Trade Comm'n
(Fed. Cir. 1996)..... 5:49-50
- Monsanto v. Schmeiser,
[2001] F.C. 2566:3
- Moseley v. V Secret Catalogue
(2003).....6:54-55, 199-202,
.....204, 219-21
- Murphy v. Christian Press Ass'n
Publ'g Co. (N.Y. App.
Div. 1899).....1(1):10
- Mylan Pharm., Inc. v. Shalala
(D.D.C. 2000)..... 6:298
- N. Light Tech., Inc. v. N. Lights
Club (1st Cir. 2001)..... 4:67-68
- N.Y. Times Co. v. Sullivan
(1964).....4:264; 7:268-69
- N.Y. Times Co. v. Tasini*
(2001).....4:229-34, 236-43
- Nabisco, Inc. v. PF Brands Inc.
(2d Cir. 1999)..... 5:116, 125-26;
.....6:208-10
- Nat'l Basketball Ass'n v.
Motorola, Inc.
(2d Cir. 1997)..... 3:142
- Nat'l Cable & Telecomms. Ass'n
v. Gulf Power Co. (2002)....6:286-87
- Nat'l Cable Television Ass'n v.
United States (1974)..... 4:155
- Nat'l Presto Indus., Inc., v. West
Bend Co.
(Fed. Cir. 1996)..... 5:48-49
- NBA Props. v. Entertainment
Records (S.D.N.Y. 1999) 4:247
- New Line Cinema Corp. v.
Bertlesman Music Group, Inc.
(S.D.N.Y. 1988) 3:157
- New York et al. v. Fed. Energy
Regulatory Comm'n et al.
(2002)4:15, 20
- New York v. Ferber
(1982)4:165-69, 178,
..... 183, 187, 191
- Nintendo of Am. v. Aeropower
Co. (4th Cir. 1994) 1(3):5, 13
- Northland Ins. Cos. v. Baylock
(D. Minn. 2000)..... 4:66
- Northwest Wholesale Stationers,
Inc. v. Pac. Stationery &
Printing Co. (1985).....4:9-10
- Olson v. Proscoco, Inc.
(Iowa 1994)..... 6:26-27
- Omega Importing Corp. v. Petri-
Kine Camera Co.
(2d Cir. 1971) 6:164
- Osborne v. Ohio
(1990)4:167, 170-71
- Otter Tail Power Co. v. United
States (1973) 4:13-14, 20
- Overseas Tankship (UK) Ltd. v.
Morts Dock & Eng'g Co.,
[1961] App. Cas. 388
(P.C. 1961)..... 6:18-19
- Palmer v. Schonhorn Enters., Inc.
(N.J. Super. Ct. 1967) 3:158
- Panavision Int'l, L.P. v. Toeppen
(9th Cir. 1998)4:63-64; 6:209
- Paragon Podiatry Lab., Inc. v.
KLM Labs., Inc.
(Fed. Cir. 1993) 6:158-59
- Paris Adult Theater I v. Slaton
(1973) 4:135
- Parker v. Brown (1943)..... 4:14
- Pent-R-Books, Inc. v. United
States Postal Serv.
(E.D.N.Y. 1971) 4:138-41
- Pepsico v. Redmond (7th Cir.
1995)..... 7:172-74, 181, 221
- Pfizer Inc. v. Aceto Corp.
(S.D.N.Y. 1994) 7:62-63
- Picture Music, Inc. v. Bourne, Inc.
(2d Cir. 1972) 5:82-83
- Pioneer Magnetics v. Micro
Linear Corp.
(Fed. Cir. 2003) 6:281-82

- Playboy Enters. Inc. v. Webbworld, Inc. (M.D. Fla. 1995)2(1):13
- Playboy Enters. Inc. v. Frena (N.D. Tex. 1997)... 2(1):12-13
- Playboy Enters., Inc. v. Chuckleberry Publ'g, Inc. (S.D.N.Y. 1996)..... 7:142-43
- Polaroid Corp. v. Polarad Elecs. Corp. (2d Cir. 1961) 5:120
- Police Dep't of Chi. v. Marley (1972).....4:127-28
- Polo Fashions, Inc. v. Ontario Printers, Inc. (N.D. Ohio 1984)..... 4:247
- Practice Mgmt. Info. Corp. v. Am. Med. Ass'n (9th Cir. 1997)..... 5:134-35, 137
- Pritchard v. Liggett & Myers Tobacco Co. (3d Cir. 1961).....7:29, 41-42
- ProCD v. Zeidenberg (7th Cir. 1994)..... 1(1):6, 8, 14, 19;6:65-66, 123-24, 268-69
- Proctor & Gamble Co. v. Amway Corp. (5th Cir. 2001)7:274-78
- Prodyne Enter., Inc. v. Julie Pomerantz (Fed. Cir. 1984)..... 5:58-59
- R.A.V. v. City of St. Paul (1992).....4:185-86, 190, 199
- RealNetworks, Inc. v. Streambox, Inc. (W.D. Wash. 2000).....3:126-29
- Recording Indus. Ass'n of Am., Inc. v. Verizon Internet Servs. (D.C. Cir. 2003)7:249-64
- Red Lion Broad. Co., v. Fed. Communications Comm'n (1997)..... 4:144
- Religious Tech. Ctr. v. Netcom On-Line Communication Servs. (N.D. Cal. 1995).....2(1):15
- Religious Tech. Ctr. v. Netcom On-Line Communication Servs., Inc. (N.D. Cal. 1995) 7:301-02
- Rencor Controls, Inc. v. Stinson (D. Me. 2002).....7:178-79, 221-22
- Reno v. ACLU (1997) 7:97-99
- Ringling Bros.-Barnum & Bailey Combined Shows, Inc. v. Utah Div. of Travel Dev. (4th Cir. 1999).....6:206-08, 217, 219
- Roberson v. Rochester Folding Box Co. (N.Y. 1902)..... 6:183
- Rodrigue v. Rodrigue (5th Cir. 2000)3:165, 169-72
- Rogers v. Grimaldi (2d Cir. 1989) 6:187-88
- Rotec Indus., Inc. v. Mitsubishi Corp. (Fed. Cir. 2000)..... 7:54-57
- Roth Greeting Cards v. United Card Co. (9th Cir. 1970)..... 4:99
- Roth v. United States (1969) 4:132-132
- Roton Barrier, Inc. v. Stanley Works (Fed. Cir. 1996)..... 5:47-48
- Rowan v. United States Post Office Dep't (1970)..... 4:137-38; 7:148-49
- Schenck v. United States (1919) 4:197
- Schneider v. Amazon.com, Inc. (Wash. App. 2001)..... 6:311-12
- Sears, Roebuck & Co. v. Stiffel Co. (1964) 6:119
- Sega Enters. Ltd. v. Accolade, Inc. (9th Cir. 1992)..... 6:81-85, 88, 92-97
- Sega Enters. Ltd. v. MAPHIA (N.D. Cal. 1994)..... 2(1):13
- Seshadri v. Kasraian (7th Cir. 1997) 5:86-87
- Shaffer v. Heitner (1977)..... 5:145, 147
- Shapiro, Bernstein & Co. v. H.L. Green Co. (2d Cir. 1963)..... 7:302
- Shapiro, Bernstein & Co. v. Jerry Vogel Music Co. (2d Cir. 1946) 5:81-82
- Shapiro, Bernstein & Co. v. Jerry Vogel Music Co. (2d Cir. 1955) 5:81-82
- Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Co. v. Festo Corp. (1997) 6:274
- Smith Int'l, Inc. v. Hughes Tool Co., 718 F.2d 1573 (Fed. Cir. 1983)6:148-53, 165
- Sony Computer Entm't, Inc. v. Connectix Corp. (9th Cir. 2000) 6:88-96
- Sony Corp. of Am. v. Universal City Studios, Inc. (1984) 6:81, 230, 232; 7:249-56, 300-10

- Southwall Techs. v. Cardinal IG
Co. (Fed. Cir. 1995).....5:50
- Sporty's Farm L.L.C. v. Sportsman's Mkt., Inc.*
(2d Cir. 2000).....3:175-77, 180-85
- State v. Heckel
(Wash. 2001).....7:129, 139
- Steele v. Bulova Watch Co.
(1953).....1(3):5, 13
- Step-Saver Data Sys., Inc. v. Wayse Tech.
(3d Cir. 1991).....1(1):5-8; 6:121-22
- SunTrust Bank v. Houghton Mifflin Co.
(N.D. Ga. 2001).....5:70-71
- Symantec Corp. v. McAfee Assocs., Inc.
(N.D. Cal. June 9, 1998).....6:117
- Talbert Fuel Sys. Patents Co. v. Unocal Corp.
(Fed. Cir. 2003).....6:281-82
- Talley v. California (1960).....7:154-55
- Tex. Instruments Inc. v. Cypress Semiconductor Corp.
(Fed. Cir. 1996).....5:46
- Texas v. Johnson (1989).....6:263
- The Bridgeman Art Library, Ltd. v. Corel Corp.*
(S.D.N.Y. 1999).....2(4):1, 4-5
- Thrifty-Tel, Inc. v. Myron Bezener (Cal. App. 1996).....3:148
- Ticketmaster Corp. v. Tickets.com, Inc.
(C.D. Cal. 2000).....3:142
- Times Mirror Magazines, Inc. v. Las Vegas Sports News, L.L.C.
(3d Cir. 2000).....6:213-14
- Titan Sports, Inc. v. Hellwig*
(D. Conn. 1999).....3:155-57, 161
- Titan Sports, Inc. v. Turner Broad. Sys., Inc.
(D. Conn. 1997).....3:157, 161
- Trigen-Okla. City Energy Corp. v. Okla. Gas & Elec. Co.
(10th Cir. 2001).....4:15-17
- Turbocare Div. of Demag Delaval v. Gen. Elec.
(Fed. Cir. 2001).....4:22-24
- Ty Inc. v. Perryman*
(7th Cir. 2002).....5:163, 166-71
- U.S. Healthcare Inc. v. Blue Cross of Greater Phila.
(3d Cir. 1990).....7:272-74
- U.S. Postal Serv. v. Greenburgh Civic Ass'ns (1981).....4:136-37
- U-Haul Int'l, Inc. v. WhenU.com, Inc. (E.D. Va. 2003).....7:284-88
- United Drug Co. v. Theodore Rectanus Co. (1918).....6:34
- United States v. Airline Tariff Publ'g Co. (D.D.C. 1993).....4:6
- United States v. Am. Library Ass'n (2003).....7:107-10
- United States v. Carroll Towing Co. (2d Cir. 1947).....6:13, 14
- United States v. Griffith
(1948).....2(3):6
- United States v. Kimbrough
(5th Cir. 1995).....4:177
- United States v. Kleiner
(S.D. Fla. 1987).....4:174
- United States v. Lopez
(1995).....6:250-52
- United States v. Maxwell
(C.A.A.F. 1996).....4:171, 178
- United States v. Microsoft
(D.C. Cir. 2001).....6:139
- United States v. Paramount Pictures, Inc. (1948).....6:230
- United States v. Phila. Nat'l Bank
(1963).....4:13-14
- Universal City Studios, Inc. v. Corley (2d Cir. 2001).....6:261-63
- Universal City Studios, Inc. v. Reimerdes
(S.D.N.Y. 2000).....3:129-35
- Update Art, Inc. v. Modiin Publ'g, Ltd.
(2d Cir. 1988).....1(3):5, 13
- V Secret Catalogue v. Moseley
(W.D. Ky. 2000).....6:200-01
- V Secret Catalogue, Inc. v. Moseley (6th Cir. 2001).....6:209-11
- Va. Pharmacy Bd. v. Va. Consumer Council
(1976).....4:128-30
- Valentine v. Chrestensen
(1942).....4:128
- Valley Drug Co. v. Geneva Pharm., Inc.*
(11th Cir. 2003).....6:295-97, 300-03
- Vault Corp. v. Quaid Software, Ltd. (5th Cir. 1988).....6:119, 121
- Veeck v. S. Bldg. Code Cong. Int'l, Inc.*
(5th Cir. 2002).....5:131-32, 135-40

- Verdis sa v. SafeMail, Inc., No. D2003-009 (WIPO Admin. Panel Apr. 2, 2003).....6:45-47
- Viacom Inc. v. Ingram Enters., Inc. (8th Cir. 1998) 3:180
- Virtual Works, Inc. v. Volkswagon of Am., Inc. (4th Cir. 2001)..... 4:65, 67; 5:144
- Visitor Indus Publ'ns, Inc. v. NOPC, L.L.C. (E.D. La. 2000)5:92
- Warner Bros., Inc. v. Am. Broad. Co. (2d Cir. 1983)..... 3:157
- Warner Jenkinson Co., Inc., v. Hilton Davis Chem. Co. (1997)..... 4:21, 5:32-33, 37-38, 41-45, 54-56;6:275-76
- Waymark Corp. v. Porta Sys. Corp. (Fed. Cir. 2001) 7:59-60
- Weissman v. Freeman (2d Cir. 1989).....5:83-84
- Wella Corp. v. Wella Graphics, Inc. (2d Cir. 1994)..... 3:178
- Wells Fargo & Co. v. WhenU.com, Inc. (E.D. Mich. 2003).....7:289-91
- Wendt v. Host Int'l, Inc. (9th Cir. 1997).....3:159-62
- Westchester Media v. PRL USA Holdings, Inc. (5th Cir. 2000)..... 6:215
- Wheaton v. Peters (1834) 5:132
- Whelan Assocs., Inc. v. Jaslow Dental Lab., Inc. (3d Cir.1986).....6:76
- White v. Samsung Elecs. Am., Inc. (9th Cir. 1992)3:159; 6:188
- Wiener v. NEC Elecs., Inc. (Fed. Cir. 1996).....5:45-46
- William Bradley Pitt v. Playgirl, Inc. (Cal. Sup. Ct. 1997).....2(1):8
- Williams v. Crichton (2d Cir. 1996)..... 3:157
- Winter v. DC Comics (Ct. App. 2002)6:194-95
- Wisconsin v. Pelican Ins. Co. (1888).....5:21
- World Wrestling Fed'n Entm't, Inc. v. Bozell (S.D.N.Y. 2001)..... 7:274
- World-Wide Volkswagon Corp. v. Woodson (1980)..... 1(3):5, 13
- Wright v. Warner Books (2d Cir. 1991) 6:117
- Yahoo!, Inc. v. La Ligue Contre le Racisme et l'Antisémitisme (9th Cir. 2004) 7:145-46
- Yahoo!, Inc. v. La Ligue Contre le Racisme et l'Antisémitisme (N.D. Cal. 2001) 7:144-46
- Zeran v. Am. Online, Inc. (4th Cir. 1997)6:310-12, 318
- Zygo Corp. v. Wyko Corp. (Fed. Cir. 1996) 5:47-48, 51